Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1062 HB Title: Agency: 055-Administrative Office of Concerning deception by law enforcement officers during custodial interrogations. the Courts Part I: Estimates No Fiscal Impact **Estimated Cash Receipts to:** NONE **Estimated Expenditures from:** NONE **Estimated Capital Budget Impact:** NONE The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060. Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions: If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note fo Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I). Capital budget impact, complete Part IV. Legislative Contact Corey Patton Phone: 360-786-7388 Date: 01/03/2024 Phone: 360-704-5545 Date: 01/08/2024 Agency Preparation: Jackie Bailey-Johnson Agency Approval: Chris Stanley Phone: 360-357-2406 Date: 01/08/2024 Phone: Date: DFM Review:

188,927.00 Request # 026-1 Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # 1062 HB

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill adds a new chapter to RCW Title 10 (Criminal Procedure).

Section 1 defines custodial interrogation, deception, law enforcement officer, person, and statement.

Section 2 explains that a statement made during a custodial interrogation by an adult is presumed inadmissible if the court determines: officer intentionally engaged in deception to receive the statement; and statement made in relation to an investigation of a misdemeanor or felony. If juvenile in custodial interrogation, the allegation of the committed act would need to constitute a misdemeanor or felony as if committed by an adult.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

II. C - Expenditures

No fiscal impact. Although this bill asks the court to consider if a statement is admissible, it should not have a substantial impact on court operations.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

NONE

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

188,927.00 Request # 026-1

Form FN (Rev 1/00) 2 Bill # 1062 HB

None